

- bility: empirical evidence from Logistics Service Providers. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 2013; 59: 197-209.
5. Cosimato S, Troisi O. Green supply chain management: Practices and tools for logistics competitiveness and sustainability. The DHL case study. *The TQM Journal* 2015; 27(2): 256-276.
 6. Coster J D. Green textiles and apparel: environmental impact and strategies for improvement. *Textile Outlook International* 2007; 6: 136-146.
 7. Curkovic S, Sroufe R. Using ISO 14001 to promote a sustainable supply chain strategy. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 2011; 20(2): 71-93.
 8. de Oliveira Brasil M V, de Abreu M C S, da Silva Filho J C L, Leocádio A L. Relationship between eco-innovations and the impact on business performance: an empirical survey research on the Brazilian textile industry. *Revista de Administração* 2016; 51(3): 276-287.
 9. Dey A, LaGuardia P, Srinivasan M. Building sustainability in logistics operations: a research agenda. *Management Research Review* 2011; 34(11): 1237-1259.
 10. Dobilaitė V, Jucienė M, Sacevičienė V. Study of Textile Waste Generation and Treatment in Lithuania. *FIBRES & TEXTILES in Eastern Europe* 2017; 25, 6(126): 8-13. DOI: 10.5604/01.3001.0010.5360.
 11. Fahimnia B, Sarkis J, Gunasekaran A, Farahani R. Decision models for sustainable supply chain design and management. *Annals of Operations Research* 2017; 250(2): 277-278.
 12. Gimenez C, Tachizawa E M. Extending sustainability to suppliers: a systematic literature review. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* 2012; 17(5): 531-543.
 13. Grekova K, Calantone R J, Bremmers H J, Trienekens J H, Omta S W F. How environmental collaboration with suppliers and customers influences firm performance: evidence from Dutch food and beverage processors. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 2016; 112: 1861-1871.
 14. Liu Z, Prajogo D, Oke A. Supply chain technologies: Linking adoption, utilization, and performance. *Journal of Supply Chain Management* 2016; 52(4): 22-41.
 15. Malindzak D, Zimon D, Bednarova L, Pitonak M. Homogeneous production processes and approaches to their management. *Acta Montanistica Slovaca* 2017; 22(2): 153-160.
 16. Straka M, Malindzakova M, Trebuna P, Rosova A, Pekarcikova M, Fill M. Application of extensim for improvement of production logistics' efficiency. *International Journal of Simulation Modelling* 2017; 16(3): 422-434.
 17. Marian A I. Some aspects of Supply Chain Risk Management Global Risks Map and Apple's Approach to Risk Management. *Quality-Access to Success* 2017; 18(160): 64-67.
 18. Mieszajkina E. Ecological Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Development. *Problems of Sustainable Development* 2016; 12(1): 163-171.
 19. Mishra S, Modi S B, Animesh A. The relationship between information technology capability, inventory efficiency, and shareholder wealth: A firm-level empirical analysis. *Journal of Operations Management* 2013; 31(6): 298-312.
 20. Pawłowski A. The multidimensional nature of sustainable development. *Problems of Sustainable Development* 2006; 1(1): 23-32.
 21. Pawłowski A. The Sustainable Development Revolution. *Problems of Sustainable Development* 2009; 4(1): 65-76.
 22. Sarkis J. A boundaries and flows perspective of green supply chain management. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal* 2012; 17(2): 202-216.
 23. Seuring S. A review of modeling approaches for sustainable supply chain management. *Decision support systems* 2013; 54(4): 1513-1520.
 24. Seuring S, Müller M. Core issues in sustainable supply chain management—a Delphi study. *Business Strategy and The Environment* 2008; 17(8): 455-466.
 25. Sisco C, Chorn B, Pruzan-Jorgensen P M. Supply chain sustainability: A practical guide for continuous improvement. *United Nations Global Compact* 2011; 8-10.
 26. Yayla A Y, Yildiz A, Ozbek A. Fuzzy TOPSIS method in supplier selection and application in the garment industry. *FIBRES & TEXTILES in Eastern Europe* 2012; 4(93): 20-23.
 27. Yu Y, Zhang M, Huo B. The impact of supply chain quality integration on green supply chain management and environmental performance. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence* 2017; 1-16.
 28. Zhang M, Tse Y K, Doherty B, Li S, Akhtar P. Sustainable supply chain management: Confirmation of a higher-order model. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 2018; 128: 206-221
 29. Zhu Q, Sarkis J, Geng Y. Green supply chain management in China: pressures, practices and performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* 2005; 25(5): 449-468.
 30. Zimon D, Malindžák D. Impact of Implementation of Standardized Quality Management Systems on the Functioning of Organizations in the Textile Industry. *FIBRES & TEXTILES in Eastern Europe* 2017; 25, 6(126): 19-24. DOI: 10.5604/01.3001.0010.5362.
 31. Zimon D, Malindžák D. Proposal of quality management and technology model supports a subsystem of manufacturing logistics. *LogForum* 2017; 13(1): 19-27.

Received 14.11.2017 Reviewed 30.01.2018

Inter Nano Poland 2018

Inter Nano Poland 2018
11-13th of September 2018,
Katowice, Poland

Third edition
of Business-to-Science
Science-to-Business conference
Inter Nano Poland is an
international forum for scientists,
entrepreneurs, business support
organizations and students
working in a nanotechnology
and advance materials sector.

INP2018 will present newest
scientific and industrial
achievements in the
nanotechnology and will enable
dialog between the world
of science and business.

This year's edition will focus
on nanomaterials applicatory
issues, materials functionalization
and use in medicine,
achievements in ethical
and legislative areas, newest
research and development
equipment, and the possibilities
of co-joint R&D projects. Agenda
will cover science-business
sessions, topic on funding
of research and projects as well
as networking sessions.

Conference is organized by
Nanonet Foundation and Silesian
Nano Cluster with cooperation
with the city of Katowice

More information:
<http://internanopoland.com/?lang=en>

E-mail:
office@internanopoland.com